UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,236	08/28/2003	Tatsutoshi Abe	393032040300	6413
David L. Fehrm	7590 09/14/201 1an	EXAMINER		
Morrison & Foo	erster LLP	ANWARI, MACEEH		
35th Floor 555 W. 5th Stre	eet	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, C	A 90013	2444		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/650,236	ABE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

zororo mo i mig or ani i ppour ziror	Examiner	Art Unit						
	MACEEH ANWARI	2444						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply original designs.	in the final rejection, whi g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI (36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office	chever is later. In on. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee ate extension fee action; or (2) as					
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL			von ii amely med,					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading they raise new issues that would require further condition they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belong). They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 004)					
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	•	_					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: In substance the applicant argues: that Fujimori's synch response to a command. The examiner contends that the isin fact a response to a command (i.e. to synchronize), of the claim as stated (for further clarification refer to final the claim as stated). Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	ronization signal is a signal represe he synchronizing internal time data Therefore the examiner contends al office action).	nting a reference time of the nodes to a refe	and not a rence time data					
13. ☐ Other: /William C. Vaughn, Jr./	,							
,								

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100901